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To the Editor:

Threats posed by global climate change are likely to rise to very dangerous levels. Climate change discussions should focus on what specific steps we can take to confront those threats.

I focus here on one possible step. I urge that the United States begin raising, by a large margin, the costs of fossil fuels over a period of years. Substantially raising fossil fuel costs should promote two essential types of change: first, dramatically expanding energy conservation efforts by individuals, private entities, and public institutions; and second, encouraging huge innovations in sustainable energy technologies. We will need both.

GlobalPetrolPrices.com has stated the following average gasoline prices for September 16, 2019 (stated in U.S. dollars for a U.S. gallon equivalent): Germany -- $5.80/gallon; United Kingdom -- $6.01; France -- $6.26; Italy -- $6.55; and the United States -- $2.91. The “average gallon price” for those four European countries was approximately $6.15. On that same day the price in Greece was $6.67/gallon.

If we wish to confront climate change threats, Europeans are consuming far too much gasoline, and we Americans are consuming much, much more. Experience in Europe makes clear people will pay a lot for gasoline. Changing human behavior regarding fossil fuel use will necessitate making individuals and institutions pay far more for those fuels than we do now.

Specifically, I recommend the federal government tax gasoline so that its price rises incrementally over the next eight to twelve years from its current level to at least $15.00/gallon (with corresponding tax changes on all other fossil fuels). That figure is more than twice what many millions of Western Europeans have demonstrated is an affordable price for gasoline; achieving it would hopefully help us create a livable future.

We need a **change engine** that substantially reduces fossil fuel use in this country. Dramatically raising current fuel prices can act as that **engine**. Doing so would force us to confront many social, economic, and political issues, and doing so would not by itself “solve” the climate change crisis. However, I see no other step that could alter our individual and collective patterns of fossil fuel consumption reasonably quickly, efficiently, and fairly.

Richard S. Booth

510 Mitchell Street

Ithaca, New York

Note: Richard Booth is an environmental lawyer who teaches in Cornell University’s Department of City and Regional Planning. This letter reflects only his ideas.